

- Operator splitting
 - Stability of explicit schemes
 - Explicit operator splitting for
Navier - Stokes equations
-

7)

Operator splitting :

Let's say that we have the following

$$u_t = Au + Bu \quad \text{or} \quad \cancel{f(t)}$$

$$\text{For example } A = u_x$$

$$B = \nu u_{xx}$$

2)

Can we then split the scheme
 for the composed operator $A + B$
 such that we solve
 first

$$u_t = Au$$

then

$$u_t = Bu$$

Yes! For each time-step
 we split.

hence

$$\frac{u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - u^n}{2\Delta t} = Au^n$$

$$\frac{u^{n+1} - u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta t} = Bu^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

3)

Stability of explicit

schemes

Consider

$$1) u_t = Cu_x$$

$$2) u_t = Du_{xx}$$

Explicit schemes are

$$1) \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = C \frac{u_i^n - u_{i-1}^n}{\Delta x}$$

$$2) \frac{u_i^{n+1} - u_i^n}{\Delta t} = D \frac{u_{i+1}^n - 2u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n}{(\Delta x)^2}$$

4)

Why do we call it
an explicit scheme ?

\Rightarrow We have an explicit formula, no need to solve
a linear system

Stability requirement :

$$1) \quad \Delta t \leq C h$$

$$2) \quad \Delta t \leq D h^2$$

[These are rough guidelines
valid for finite difference in 1D,
some adjustment ~~and~~ are needed
for FEM in 3D]

5)

What about Navier-Stokes?

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} \right) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{v} + \vec{f}$$

$\nabla \cdot \vec{v}$

How about an explicit scheme?

Let us plug in:

1)

~~$$\rho \left(\frac{\vec{v}^{n+1} - \vec{v}^n}{\Delta t} + (\vec{v}^n \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}^n \right) = -\nabla p^n + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{v}^n + \vec{f}^n$$~~

What is the trouble here?

1. No update for the pressure

because there is no time-derivative

on p

4. No idea whether $\nabla \cdot \vec{v}^{n+1} = 0$

6)

Let us modify such that

1.) and 2.) are ~~not~~ addressed

\Rightarrow

2)

$$\rho \left(\frac{\vec{v}^{n+1} - \vec{v}^n}{\Delta t} + (\vec{v}^n \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}^n \right) = -\nabla p^{n+1} + \mu \nabla^2 \vec{v}^n + \vec{f}^h$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{v}^{n+1} = 0$$

All hell breaks loose \square

The scheme is no longer explicit

and we have to deal with

$$\text{the constraint } \nabla \cdot \vec{v}^{n+1} = 0$$

and an additional variable.

7)

Let us call

$$\vec{V}^{n+1} \text{ in 1) } v^*$$

and subtract 1) ~~less~~ from 2)

$$\Rightarrow p^{n+1} - p^n = \phi$$

$$\rho \left(\frac{\vec{V}^{n+1} - \vec{V}^*}{\Delta t} \right) = -\nabla p^{n+1} + \nabla p^n$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{V}^{n+1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho \left(\frac{\vec{V}^{n+1} - \vec{V}^*}{\Delta t} \right) = -\nabla \phi \quad (*)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{V}^{n+1} = 0$$

Taking $\nabla \cdot$ of (*)

$$\text{Gives } -\nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{\nabla \cdot \vec{V}^*}{\Delta t}$$

8)

We arrived at a "operator splitting,"
often called a projection scheme.

1) Compute \vec{v}^* according to

$$\rho \left(\frac{\vec{v}^* - \vec{v}^n}{\Delta t} + (\vec{v}^n \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}^n \right) = -\nabla p^n + \rho \nabla^2 \vec{v}^n + f^n$$

2) Compute ϕ as

$$-\nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{\nabla \cdot \vec{v}^*}{\Delta t}$$

[we notice that this step is
not explicit]

3) Compute $p^{n+1} = p^n + \phi$

4) Compute $\vec{v}^{n+1} = \vec{v}^* - \frac{\rho}{\rho} \nabla \phi$